Case: 12-

Stay Does Not Apply

Motion for Order Granting Relief from the Automatic

Stay; or in the Alternative for an Order that the Automatic

2-46534 Doc# 373 Filed: 09/17/13 Entered: 09/17/13 11:53:20 Page 1 of

-1-

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

Case

property owned by Pacific Thomas Corporation ("Debtor"). In addition, Nova Group, a Nevada Corporation pledged as collateral real estate commonly known as 2901 East 12th Street. Westside of 12th Street of 29th Avenue, AP No. 025-0693-003-03 (the "Nova Parcel"). By way of this motion, Summit seeks relief from stay, to the extent it is necessary, to proceed with its state law foreclosure rights against the Nova Parcel. Alternatively, if the Court believes that the automatic stay does not apply to a foreclosure action against the Nova Parcel, Summit as a precaution, seeks an order from this Court that the stay does not apply and it can proceed with its foreclosure against the Nova Parcel.

II. FACTUAL BACKGROUND

- 1. The Debtor filed its voluntary Chapter 11 bankruptcy petition on August 6, 2012.
- 2. On or about January 8, 2013, the Court appointed Kyle Everett as the Chapter 11 Trustee in the Debtor's bankruptcy case.
- 3. Summit is a secured creditor of the Debtor and is currently owed \$8,413,390.94 as of September 12, 2013.
- 4. On or about July 27, 2007, the Debtor made, executed and delivered to Summit a Written Promissory Note (the "Note") and a Written Business Loan Agreement ("BLA"). Pursuant to the terms of the Note and BLA, the Debtor promised to pay Summit the principal sum of \$8,100,000 plus interest fees and other charges. The entire balance of principal plus accrued interest and charges was all due and payable on March 31, 2011.
- 5. On or about July 27, 2007, the Debtor and Nova Group, a Nevada Corporation ("Nova Group"), in order to secure the obligations owing to Summit under the Note and BLA, made, executed and delivered to Summit a Deed of Trust (the "Deed of Trust"). Pursuant to the terms of the Deed of Trust, Nova Group pledged the Nova Parcel as security for the Debtor's obligations to Summit under the Note and BLA.
- 6. In addition to the Note, BLA and Deed of Trust, the Debtor also made, executed and delivered to Summit a Commercial Security Agreement and UCC-1 which provided Summit additional collateral for the obligations of the Debtor under the Note and BLA.

28

-2-

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

- 7. The Debtor defaulted under its obligations under the Note and BLA. As a result of the Debtor's default, on April 7, 2011, Summit caused a Notice of Default to be recorded in the Official Records of Alameda County.
- 8. The notice period provided under the Notice of Default and Election to Sell Under Deed of Trust expired without the Debtor or Nova Group curing the default.
 - 9. On August 6, 2012, the Debtor filed its Voluntary Chapter 11 bankruptcy case.

III. LEGAL ARGUMENT

- A. To the Extent the Automatic Stay Applies, Summit is Entitled to Relief from the Automatic Stay.
 - 11 U.S.C. § 362 states, in pertinent part:
 - "(d) Upon request of a party in interest after notice and a hearing, the court shall grant relief from the stay provided under subsection (a) of this section, such as by terminating, annulling, modifying, or conditioning such stay
 - (1) For cause, including the lack of adequate protection of an interest in property of such party in interest;
 - (2) With respect to a stay of an act against property under subsection (a) of this section, if (a) the debtor does not have an equity and such property; and (b) such property is not necessary to an effective reorganization."

In the present case, Summit seeks to exercise its state law foreclosure remedies against the Nova Parcel. The Nova Parcel is not property of the estate. The Nova Parcel is owned by Nova Group which is a separate entity from the Debtor. Nova Group pledged the Nova Parcel as additional collateral for the Debtor's obligations under the Note and BLA. By reason of the fact that the Nova Parcel is not property of the estate, the Debtor does not have any equity in such property. Further, since the Nova Parcel is not property of the estate, the Debtor cannot, in good faith, argue that it is necessary to an effective reorganization.

Summit's concern is that 11 U.S.C. § 362 prohibits any act of a creditor to enforce an obligation against the Debtor. Since the obligation that would give rise to the foreclosure on the Nova Parcel is an obligation of the Debtor, Summit seeks an order from this Court granting relief

(510) 763-1000 FAX: (510) 273-8669

1

2

from stay, to the extent it applies, so that it may proceed with a foreclosure only against the Nova Parcel.

Since the Nova Parcel is not property of the estate, the Debtor has no equity interest in it and it is not necessary for the Debtor's effective reorganization and Summit is entitled to an order granting relief from stay, to the extent the stay applies.

B. Alternatively, Summit is Entitled to an Order from this Court that the Automatic Stay of 11 U.S.C. § 362 Does Not Prevent Summit from Proceeding With a Foreclosure on the Nova Parcel.

Alternatively, Summit seeks an order from this Court that the automatic stay of 11 U.S.C. § 362 does not apply to any action on the part of Summit to proceed with a foreclosure sale against the Nova Parcel. A foreclosure by Summit against the Nova Parcel only, will have no negative impact upon the bankruptcy estate. In fact, the impact would be beneficial. To the extent that the parcel is sold at a foreclosure sale, it will serve to reduce the Debtor/estate's obligations to Summit under the Note and BLA.

IV. <u>CONCLUSION</u>

WHEREFORE, Summit respectfully asserts that it is entitled to either an order granting relief from stay so that it may proceed with enforcing its state foreclosure rights against the Nova Parcel, or, alternatively, an order from this Court that the automatic stay of 11 U.S.C. § 362 does not apply to Summit's foreclosure against the Nova Parcel. Summit further requests, to the extent the Court enters an order granting relief from stay, that the Court waive the state provisions of Federal Rule of Bankruptcy Procedure 4001(a)(3).

Dated: September 16, 2013 KORNFIELD, NYBERG, BENDES & KUHNER, P.C.

By:/s/ Eric A. Nyberg

(Bar No. 131105)

Attorneys for Secured Creditor Summit Bank

Motion for Order Granting Relief from the Automatic Stay; or in the Alternative for an Order that the Automatic Stay Does Not Apply -4-

12-46534 Doc# 373

Case

iled: 09/17/13

Entered: 09/17/13 11:53:20 Page 4 of

Kornfield, Nyberg, Bendes & Kuhner, P.C. 1970 Broadway, Suite 225 Oakland, California 94612 (510) 763-1000 FAX: (510) 273-8669

28

Case

1	DECLARATION OF SERVICE		
2	I, the undersigned, declare:		
3	I am employed in the City of Oakland, County of Alameda, California. I am over the age		
4	of 18 years and not a party to this action. My business address is 1970 Broadway, Suite 225,		
5	Oakland, California 94612.		
6	I am readily familiar with the business practices of my employer, Kornfield, Nyberg,		
7	Bendes & Kuhner, P.C., for the collection and processing of correspondence for mailing with the		
8	United States Postal Service and that correspondence is deposited with the United States Posta		
9	Service that same day in the ordinary course of business.		
10	On September 17, 2013, I served the following documents:		
11	MOTION FOR ORDER GRANTING RELIEF FROM THE AUTOMATIC STAY		
12	OF 11 U.S.C. § 362; OR IN THE ALTERNATIVE FOR AN ORDER THAT THE AUTOMATIC STAY DOES NOT APPLY;		
13	RELIEF FROM STAY COVERSHEET;		
14			
15	NOTICE OF MOTION FOR ORDER GRANTING RELIEF FROM THE AUTOMATIC STAY OF 11 U.S.C. § 362; OR IN THE ALTERNATIVE FOR AN		
15 16			
	AUTOMATIC STAY OF 11 U.S.C. § 362; OR IN THE ALTERNATIVE FOR AN ORDER THAT THE AUTOMATIC STAY DOES NOT APPLY; AND DECLARATION OF STEVE NELSON IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR ORDER		
16	AUTOMATIC STAY OF 11 U.S.C. § 362; OR IN THE ALTERNATIVE FOR AN ORDER THAT THE AUTOMATIC STAY DOES NOT APPLY; AND DECLARATION OF STEVE NELSON IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR ORDER GRANTING RELIEF FROM THE AUTOMATIC STAY OF 11 U.S.C. § 362; OR IN THE ALTERNATIVE FOR AN ORDER THAT THE AUTOMATIC STAY DOES		
16 17	AUTOMATIC STAY OF 11 U.S.C. § 362; OR IN THE ALTERNATIVE FOR AN ORDER THAT THE AUTOMATIC STAY DOES NOT APPLY; AND DECLARATION OF STEVE NELSON IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR ORDER GRANTING RELIEF FROM THE AUTOMATIC STAY OF 11 U.S.C. § 362; OR IN THE ALTERNATIVE FOR AN ORDER THAT THE AUTOMATIC STAY DOES NOT APPLY		
16 17 18	AUTOMATIC STAY OF 11 U.S.C. § 362; OR IN THE ALTERNATIVE FOR AN ORDER THAT THE AUTOMATIC STAY DOES NOT APPLY; AND DECLARATION OF STEVE NELSON IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR ORDER GRANTING RELIEF FROM THE AUTOMATIC STAY OF 11 U.S.C. § 362; OR IN THE ALTERNATIVE FOR AN ORDER THAT THE AUTOMATIC STAY DOES NOT APPLY by placing copies of said documents in a sealed envelope and served in the manner described		
16 17 18 19	AUTOMATIC STAY OF 11 U.S.C. § 362; OR IN THE ALTERNATIVE FOR AN ORDER THAT THE AUTOMATIC STAY DOES NOT APPLY; AND DECLARATION OF STEVE NELSON IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR ORDER GRANTING RELIEF FROM THE AUTOMATIC STAY OF 11 U.S.C. § 362; OR IN THE ALTERNATIVE FOR AN ORDER THAT THE AUTOMATIC STAY DOES NOT APPLY		
16 17 18 19 20	AUTOMATIC STAY OF 11 U.S.C. § 362; OR IN THE ALTERNATIVE FOR AN ORDER THAT THE AUTOMATIC STAY DOES NOT APPLY; AND DECLARATION OF STEVE NELSON IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR ORDER GRANTING RELIEF FROM THE AUTOMATIC STAY OF 11 U.S.C. § 362; OR IN THE ALTERNATIVE FOR AN ORDER THAT THE AUTOMATIC STAY DOES NOT APPLY by placing copies of said documents in a sealed envelope and served in the manner described below addressed as follows: Lynette C. Kelly Anne-Leith Matlock/Kathrin R. Dimas		
16 17 18 19 20 21	AUTOMATIC STAY OF 11 U.S.C. § 362; OR IN THE ALTERNATIVE FOR AN ORDER THAT THE AUTOMATIC STAY DOES NOT APPLY; AND DECLARATION OF STEVE NELSON IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR ORDER GRANTING RELIEF FROM THE AUTOMATIC STAY OF 11 U.S.C. § 362; OR IN THE ALTERNATIVE FOR AN ORDER THAT THE AUTOMATIC STAY DOES NOT APPLY by placing copies of said documents in a sealed envelope and served in the manner described below addressed as follows: Lynette C. Kelly U.S. Office of the U.S. Trustee 1301 Clay Street Anne-Leith Matlock/Kathrin R. Dimas Matlock Law Group 1485 Treat Blvd, Suite 200		
16 17 18 19 20 21 22	AUTOMATIC STAY OF 11 U.S.C. § 362; OR IN THE ALTERNATIVE FOR AN ORDER THAT THE AUTOMATIC STAY DOES NOT APPLY; AND DECLARATION OF STEVE NELSON IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR ORDER GRANTING RELIEF FROM THE AUTOMATIC STAY OF 11 U.S.C. § 362; OR IN THE ALTERNATIVE FOR AN ORDER THAT THE AUTOMATIC STAY DOES NOT APPLY by placing copies of said documents in a sealed envelope and served in the manner described below addressed as follows: Lynette C. Kelly U.S. Office of the U.S. Trustee 1301 Clay Street Oakland, CA 94612 Anne-Leith Matlock/Kathrin R. Dimas Matlock Law Group 1485 Treat Blvd, Suite 200 Walnut Creek, CA 94597		
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	AUTOMATIC STAY OF 11 U.S.C. § 362; OR IN THE ALTERNATIVE FOR AN ORDER THAT THE AUTOMATIC STAY DOES NOT APPLY; AND DECLARATION OF STEVE NELSON IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR ORDER GRANTING RELIEF FROM THE AUTOMATIC STAY OF 11 U.S.C. § 362; OR IN THE ALTERNATIVE FOR AN ORDER THAT THE AUTOMATIC STAY DOES NOT APPLY by placing copies of said documents in a sealed envelope and served in the manner described below addressed as follows: Lynette C. Kelly U.S. Office of the U.S. Trustee 1301 Clay Street Oakland, CA 94612 Walnut Creek, CA 94597 Walter W. Gouldsbury III Robert E. Izmirian		
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	AUTOMATIC STAY OF 11 U.S.C. § 362; OR IN THE ALTERNATIVE FOR AN ORDER THAT THE AUTOMATIC STAY DOES NOT APPLY; AND DECLARATION OF STEVE NELSON IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR ORDER GRANTING RELIEF FROM THE AUTOMATIC STAY OF 11 U.S.C. § 362; OR IN THE ALTERNATIVE FOR AN ORDER THAT THE AUTOMATIC STAY DOES NOT APPLY by placing copies of said documents in a sealed envelope and served in the manner described below addressed as follows: Lynette C. Kelly Lynette C. Kelly U.S. Office of the U.S. Trustee 1301 Clay Street 1485 Treat Blvd, Suite 200 Oakland, CA 94612 Walnut Creek, CA 94597 Walter W. Gouldsbury III Jeffer Mangels Butler, & Mitchell LLP Two Embarcadero Center, 5 th Floor To Street, Suite 1700		
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	AUTOMATIC STAY OF 11 U.S.C. § 362; OR IN THE ALTERNATIVE FOR AN ORDER THAT THE AUTOMATIC STAY DOES NOT APPLY; AND DECLARATION OF STEVE NELSON IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR ORDER GRANTING RELIEF FROM THE AUTOMATIC STAY OF 11 U.S.C. § 362; OR IN THE ALTERNATIVE FOR AN ORDER THAT THE AUTOMATIC STAY DOES NOT APPLY by placing copies of said documents in a sealed envelope and served in the manner described below addressed as follows: Lynette C. Kelly U.S. Office of the U.S. Trustee 1301 Clay Street Oakland, CA 94612 Walter W. Gouldsbury III For Mangels Butler, & Mitchell LLP Robert E. Izmirian Buchalter Nemer		

Motion for Order Granting Relief from the Automatic Stay; or in the Alternative for an Order that the Automatic Stay Does Not Apply -5-

Stay Does Not Apply 12-46534 Doc# 373 Filed: 09/17/13 Entered: 09/17/13 11:53:20 Page 5 of

28

1	David M. Sternberg, Esq.	Frank T. Pepler
2	David M. Sternberg & Associates 540 Lennon Lane	DLA Piper LLP (US) 555 Mission Street, Suite 2400
3	Walnut Creek, CA 94598	San Francisco, CA 94105
4	Jacol LLC et al	Randall Whitney
5	c/o The Bowers Group 3201 Danville Blvd. Suite 170	5215 Masonic Avenue Oakland, CA 94618-2631
6	Alamo, CA 94507	
7	Randall Whitney	Randall Whitney
8	2783 East 12th Street Oakland, CA 94601	1818 Mt. Diablo Blvd., Suite D Walnut Creek, CA 94596
9	Kyle Everett	Jennifer C. Wong
10	235 Pine Street, Suite 1150 San Francisco, CA 94104	McCarthy & Holthus, LLP 1770 Fourth Avenue
11	·	San Diego, CA 92101
12	Barrett Daffin Frappier Treder & Weiss, LLP	Edward T. Weber
13	Attn: Darlene C. Vigil 20955 Pathfinder Road, Suite 300	Jonathan J. Damen Routh, Crabtree and Olsen
14	Diamond Bar, CA 91765	1241 E Dyer Rd. #250 Santa Ana, CA 92705
15	Mark T. Domeyer	
16	Miles, Bauer, Bergstrom and Winters 1231 E. Dyer Rd #100	Matthew R. Clark, III Pite Duncan, LLP
17	Santa Ana, CA 92705	4375 Jutland Drive #200 P.O.Box 17933
18	Vincent J. Novak Morrison and Foerster LLP	San Diego, CA 92177
19	425 Market St.	Dean R. Prober
20	San Francisco, CA 94105	Prober and Raphael 20750 Ventura Blvd. #100
21	Edward G. Schloss	Woodland Hills, CA 91364
22	Edward G. Schloss Law Corp. 3637 Motor Ave. #220	Joshua Scheer
23	Los Angeles, CA 90034	Scheer Law Group 155 N Redwood Dr. #100
24	Scott E. Gizer EARLY SULLIVAN WRIGHT	San Rafael, CA 9490
25	GIZER & McRAE LLP	Nova Group, a Nevada Corporation
26	6420 Wilshire Boulevard, 17th Floor Los Angeles, California 90048	121 Juanita Drive Incline Village, NV 89452
27	6,	
۷ /		

Motion for Order Granting Relief from the Automatic Stay; or in the Alternative for an Order that the Automatic Stay Does Not Apply 12-46534 Doc# 373 Filed: 09/17

-6-

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

Nova Group, a Nevada Corporation
Attn: Randall Worsley, President
Attn: Officer, a managing or general
agent, or to any other agent authorized by
appointment or by law
P.O. Box 22360
Honolulu HI 96823-2360

Nova Group, a Nevada Corporation Attn: Randall Worsley, Treasurer Attn: Officer, a managing or general agent, or to any other agent authorized by appointment or by law 929 Southwood Blvd., Apt. 1 Incline Village, NV 89450

I placed such envelopes for collection and mailing at my employer's office following ordinary business practices, addressed to the addressee designated.

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed this 17th day of September, 2013 at Oakland, California.

/s/ Gail A. Michael

Motion for Order Granting Relief from the Automatic Stay; or in the Alternative for an Order that the Automatic Stay Does Not Apply